1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION	
2		
3	IN RE: NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION STUDENT-) Docket No. 13 C 9116
4	ATHLETE CONCUSSION INJURY LITIGATION,	,) Chicago, Illinois) June 20, 2017
5) 9:00 o'clock p.m.
6		ROCEEDINGS - MOTION ORABLE JOHN Z. LEE
7		3.0.022 33 2. 2.2
8	APPEARANCES:	
9	For the Plaintiffs:	HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO, by MR. DANIEL J. KUROWSKI
10		455 North Cityfront Plaza Drive NBC Tower - Suite 2410
11		Chicago, Illinois 60611
12		SIPRUT PC, by MR. TODD LAWRENCE McLAWHORN
13		17 North State Street Suite 1600 Chicago, Illinois 60602
14		
15		COATS ROSE YALE RYMAN & LEE, by MR. DWIGHT E. JEFFERSON Nine Greenway Plaza
16		Suite 1100 Houston, Texas 77046
17		(appearing telephonically)
18	For Plaintiff Nichols:	EDELSON PC, by MR. BENJAMIN SCOTT THOMASSEN
19		350 North LaSalle Street Suite 1300
20		Chicago, Illinois 60654
21		
22	ALEXANDRA ROTH, CSR, RPR Official Court Reporter 219 South Dearborn Street, Room 1224 Chicago, Illinois 60604 (312) 408-5038	
23		
24		
25		
	•	

Case: 1:13-cv-09116 Document #: 429 Filed: 07/26/17 Page 2 of 8 PageID #:10569 APPEARANCES: (Continued) For Defendant NCAA: LATHAM & WATKINS, by MS. JOHANNA MARGARET SPELLMAN 330 North Wabash Avenue Suite 2800 Chicago, Illinois 60611

(Proceedings had in open court:) 1 THE CLERK: Case 13 C 9116, NCAA Student-Athlete 2 3 Concussion Injury Litigation. 4 MS. SPELLMAN: Good morning, your Honor. Johanna 5 Spellman for the NCAA. 6 MR. KUROWSKI: Good morning, your Honor. Daniel 7 Kurowski for plaintiffs. 8 MR. McLAWHORN: Todd McLawhorn for plaintiffs. 9 MR. THOMASSEN: Good morning, Judge. Ben Thomassen here for Mr. Nichols. 10 11 THE COURT: And we have Mr. Jefferson on the phone, is that correct? 12 13 MR. JEFFERSON: Yes, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: All right. So the parties have filed a 15 status report with regard to the pending motion for an order to 16 show cause with regard to particular universities as to why 17 they have not responded to the subpoenas to identify class 18 members that were previously issued in this case. I understand 19 now that, at least as of the last filing, that there are two 20 universities that remain outstanding of the thousands or more 21 from whom data was sought. And that's Southern University. 22 Have you heard from them yet?

MS. SPELLMAN: I have, your Honor, and I can give you

a little bit of color and background. In the week or two

leading up to the filing of the motion on June 6, I heard from

23

24

25

an outside lawyer who was working with the university to pull together that information. He told me that they were working hard to pull it together, hoped to get it in before the filing. That didn't happen.

And then on Friday afternoon I received a voicemail message from the general counsel of the university who said that that outside lawyer was no longer working with the university. She had received service of the motion and was --wanted some clarification as to what exactly was being sought. I think the ball kind of got dropped somewhere along the line perhaps when the outside lawyer left.

In any event, the university has told me that they have pulled together the information. I received an e-mail from them late last night and again this morning, saying that they had the information. They were having some technical problems uploading it to the notice administrator. I put them in touch with the notice administrator. And so hopefully we will get that very soon, perhaps hopefully today. So that's what I've heard from Southern University.

University of Puerto Rico, Rio Piedras, they're sort of in a unique situation. There are ongoing strikes at campus -- at University of Puerto Rico campuses all spring. We were unable to reach anyone at all, a live person, at the university between the time that the -- we reached -- followed up on the subpoena and the filing of the motion.

On Friday we were finally able to get in touch with somebody who put us in touch with a person in the office of legal counseling. They asked that we send the motion and the subpoena to the director of the office of legal counsel, which we did on Friday. We will follow up with them.

I have no reason to think that they won't comply.

It's, like I said, a kind of a unique situation at that university, where I understand process servers have difficulty getting on campus. You know, there may be lockdowns.

So we can continue to work, follow up with them. But I think they are uniquely situated.

THE COURT: Okay. And where are we with regard to the direct mailing campaign from the notice administrator?

MS. SPELLMAN: The notice administrator has begun to send out the second wave of direct notice, I believe as of May 30. They are continuing to process the data as they continue to receive it from the schools. So we are hopeful that will be completed, you know, in the next few weeks.

THE COURT: All right. And so the timing, assuming that Southern University and University of Puerto Rico, Rio Piedras, provides you or the notice administrator with data in the next couple of weeks, that won't delay any of the other direct notices that are going out, is that correct?

MS. SPELLMAN: I don't believe so, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. And so given that it's, I guess,

formally plaintiff's motion, how does the plaintiff wish to proceed with regard to the motion?

MR. KUROWSKI: We are amenable to giving the schools time. It's just a handful -- two outlying situations at this point. And based on the optimism from the NCAA in the fact that notice is going out to so many others, direct individual, directly that, you know, I think we are still well within Rule 23 best notice practicable to members of the class, notwithstanding these two schools.

THE COURT: All right. I am less optimistic than you are with regard to obtaining prompt cooperation from the two schools. And I do understand that these are two -- we only have two schools left out of the, like I said, thousand-plus schools that have provided the information to the settlement administrator.

But still, the fact is that subpoenas were issued to these two schools. And to the extent that they are not complying, this Court will take action.

So I am going to enter and continue the motion with regard to Southern University, Baton Rouge, and University of Puerto Rico, Rio Piedras, to July 7, that's Friday, at 9:30. Hopefully that will be enough time for the NCAA to work with the two schools to get either the information or a specific deadline by which the school will commit to providing information.

1 The other issue that the parties have raised with 2 regard to Stillman College. So as I understand it from the 3 parties' submissions, Stillman College provided a list of 4 information with regard to students from 2000 onward. 5 have no way of determining which of those students participated 6 in NCAA- affiliated athletics? MS. SPELLMAN: That's right, your Honor. I spoke with 7 8 their legal liaison, and she informed me that at some point 9 somebody had recommended that the information be coded in a way 10 that allowed student-athletes to be identified. But that was 11 never implemented. 12 THE COURT: And so just sending out notices, direct 13 notices, to all of the students from Stillman from 2000 onward 14 would cost about \$2,100? 15 MS. SPELLMAN: I believe that's right, or \$2,300. 16 Yes, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: All right. What do the parties recommend 18 with regard to Stillman? 19 MR. KUROWSKI: Your Honor, it's our position that we should just spend the \$2,300 and issue the notice to all the 20 21 Stillman people. We prefer to have direct notice go to those 22 individuals that would otherwise get that, had the record-23 keeping been more fulsome. So we would recommend or request 24 that the Court --

THE COURT: Ms. Spellman?

25

1	MS. SPELLMAN: Yes, that's certainly one option.	
2	Another option might be to perhaps require that the college to	
3	post the information about the settlement somewhere. But, you	
4	know, there were we are certainly not opposed to sending	
5	notice to everyone on that list.	
6	THE COURT: Go ahead send notice to everyone on the	
7	list.	
8	Is there anything else we need to address today?	
9	Hearing nothing, okay. Thank you.	
10	MR. KUROWSKI: Thank you, your Honor.	
11	MR. JEFFERSON: Thank you, Judge.	
12	(Which were all the proceedings heard in this case.)	
13	CERTIFICATE	
14	I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing is a true, correct	
15	and complete transcript of the proceedings had at the hearing	
16	of the aforementioned cause on the day and date hereof.	
17		
18	/s/Alexandra Roth 6/28/2017	
19	Official Court Reporter Date U.S. District Court	
20	Northern District of Illinois Eastern Division	
21	Lastern Division	
22		
23		
24		
25		